

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.góv

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/083,711 02/26/2002		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
		Gregory G. Brucker	S63.2-10306		
490	7590 11/09/2004		EXAMINER		
•	RETT & STEINKRA	WEBB, SARAH K			
6109 BLUE (SUITE 2000	CIRCLE DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNETON	KA, MN 55343-9185	3731			

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Λ <i>2</i>
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	4
0.00		10/083,7	11	BRUCKER ET AL.	,
	Office Action Summary	Examine	7	Art Unit	
		Sarah K V	Vebb	3731	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	cation appears on the	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply weeply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication. of days, a reply within the state utory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the appropriate the appropriate in the state of the appropriate in t	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) d ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro dication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely, on the mailing date of this communi NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 20 September :	2004.		
• ==	•	b) ☐ This action is r			
, —	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practic	or allowance except	for formal matters, p		its is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-39,41 and 42 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-31 and 42 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 32-39 and 41 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	2 is/are withdrawn fr	om consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:				
	Applicant may not request that any object				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 2. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of None of: application from the Internation of None of	documents have been documents have been of the priority documnal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica ents have been recei le 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stag	e
Attachmen			4) Interview Summa	any (PTO-413)	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pโ	ГО-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date	
3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	I Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/083,711 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 32 is objected to because of the following informalities: the status label should be "currently amended" instead of "previously presented." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 32 38 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,972,017 to Berg et al.

Figure 9 illustrates a bifurcated stent with a first section (14), a second section (12), and *at least four* linkage members (22a). Berg explains that the linkage members (22) are integral with both the first and second sections (column 5, lines 10-15 and column 6, lines 15-31). The stent can be formed of shape memory metal, as Berg lists "nitinol" as a material for construction (column 4, line 39). Regarding claim 36, the linkage members (22) meet the broad limitation of "substantially S-shaped." As shown in bold, the linkage member (22a) has many curves that can be considered "S-shaped." Regarding claim 41, there are at least eight linkage members (22), as some members (22) are located on the side of the stent that is not illustrated.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berg et al. in view of US Patent No. 6,071,298 to Lashinski et al.

Berg includes all the limitations of claim 39, except for selectively annealing the links of the stent. As shown in Figures 3 and 7 especially, the linkage members of The Berg stent must be highly flexible to allow such a collapsed delivery configuration. Lashinski teaches that stent sections requiring greater flexibility can be selectively annealed (column 4, lines 5-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to selectively anneal the links of the stent of Berg, as taught by Lashinski, as this provides the links with greater flexibility.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 32-39 and 41 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K Webb whose telephone number is (571) 272-4706. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,711 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhthuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SKW 11/03/04

PRIMARY EXAMINER